# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

Juan Francisco Villa Alonso and Ana Mercedes Damour,

Plaintiffs,

V.

UR M. JADDOU, Director of U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services,

Defendant.

Civil No:

COMPLAINT TO COMPEL AGENCY ACTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1361 AND 5 U.S.C. §§ 555(b), 706(1), AND FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS TO COMPEL DEFENDANTS' ADJUDICATION OF FORM I-601A APPLICATION FOR PROVISIONAL WAIVER

I-601A Receipt No.: LIN2090315221 A219 509 212

#### **COMPLAINT FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS**

# To the Honorable Judges of Said Court:

Plaintiffs, Mr. Juan Francisco Villa Alonso and his wife, Mrs. Ana Mercedes Damour, through undersigned counsel, allege as follows:

#### I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is a civil action brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1361, 8 U.S.C. § 1329, and 28 U.S.C. § 1331, to redress the deprivation of rights, privileges and

- immunities secured to Plaintiffs to compel Defendant to perform a duty Defendant owed to Plaintiffs.
- 2. This action is brought to compel Defendant and those acting under her direction to take action on an I-601A, Application for Provisional Unlawful Presence Waiver (hereinafter referred to as "I-601A Application") that was submitted by the Plaintiffs in order to receive a waiver so that Plaintiff Juan can leave the United States without waiting for ten years to reenter.
- 3. Plaintiffs request that this Court issue injunctive relief and a writ in the nature of mandamus compelling Defendant to adjudicate the I-601A Application under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B)(v).

## II. JURISDICTION

- 4. This Court has general federal question jurisdiction over this action under 5 U.S.C. §§ 555(b) and 706(a), 28 U.S.C. § 1361, and 28 U.S.C. § 1331(a). Jurisdiction is also conferred by 5 U.S.C. § 704.
- 5. This Court also has jurisdiction to grant declaratory relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and F.R.C.P. 57 and 65.

#### III. VENUE

6. Venue in the Northern District of Georgia is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(e). Defendant is an Officer of the United States acting in her official capacity, and the U.S. Attorney General's Office, the Department of Homeland Security ("DHS"), and U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services ("USCIS") are agencies of the United States. Additionally, Plaintiffs reside in this judicial district.

### IV. EXHAUSTION

7. There are no administrative remedies available for Plaintiffs to exhaust.

## V. PARTIES

8. Beneficiary Plaintiff, JUAN FRANCISCO VILLA ALONSON (Alien Registration Number A219 509 212) and his United States citizen wife ANA MERCEDES DAMOUR, are residents of Clayton County, Georgia. Plaintiff Juan submitted form I-601A Application to seek a provisional unlawful presence waiver. This application was submitted on April 24, 2020 with the USCIS Nebraska Service Center and assigned the case number LIN2090315221.

9. Defendant UR M. JADDOU is the Director of USCIS, a fee-for-service agency which is responsible for the administration and enforcement of the immigration laws of the United States, including the adjudication of Form I-601A.

## VI. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Plaintiff Ana Mercedes Damour submitted a visa petition on behalf of her husband Plaintiff Juan Francisco Villa Alonso on February 4, 2019. This petition was approved by USCIS on June 25, 2019. *See* Exhibit A.
- 11. As a result of the approved visa petition, Plaintiff Juan submitted form

  I-601A Application seeking an advanced ruling of the waiver of the ten year
  bar on April 24, 2020. *See* Exhibit B.
- 12. The current processing time for the I-601A is 38 months. Exhibit C. That is more than three months *longer* than the processing times announced the previous month. In 2022, the processing time was 31.7 months and in the previous year, it was 17.1 months. In 2020 and 2019 respectively, the processing times were 11.2 months and 8.7 months. Exhibit D. The Plaintiffs have been damaged and harmed by the delay in the processing of

- their visa petition and with the ever growing backlogs in the I-601A processing.
- 13. Although the Plaintiffs' application is technically still within the agency's stated normal processing times, the APA requires USCIS to make decisions on pending applications within a *reasonable* amount of time. *See, e.g., Didban v. Pompeo*, 435 F. Supp. 3d 168, 175 (D.D.C. 2020). Plaintiffs' Form I-601A has been pending for over three years. A delay this long is entirely unreasonable, as are the unexplained increases in processing times. Plaintiffs should not be required to wait further when the announced unreasonable processing times will simply grow longer and longer.
- 14. To determine whether a delay is reasonable, courts often consider the factors laid out in *Telecommunications Research & Action Ctr. v. FCC* ("TRAC"), 750 F.2d 70 (D.C. Cir. 1984). Those factors are stated as follows:
  - (1) "the time an agency takes to make a decision should be governed by a 'rule of reason' "; (2) "[t]he content of a rule of reason can sometimes be supplied by a congressional indication of the speed at which the agency should act"; (3) "the reasonableness of a delay will differ based on the nature of the regulation; that is, an unreasonable delay on a matter affecting human health and welfare might be reasonable in the sphere of economic regulation"; (4) "the effect of expediting delayed actions on agency activity of a higher or competing priority . . . [and] the extent of the interests prejudiced by the delay"; and

(5) "a finding of unreasonableness does not require a finding of impropriety by the agency."

*Id.* at 80.

- 15. First, Defendant does not have a rule of reason for adjudicating Form I-601As. To the extent it does, it has not applied such a rule in this case.
- 16. Second, Congress has provided content to any "rule of reason" by declaring all immigrant benefits requests should be decided within 180 days. 8 U.S.C. § 1571(b).
- 17. Third, this delay impacts human health and welfare, not merely economic interests. Plaintiff Juan's future is left in limbo, leaving him unable to plan for his future life in this country with his U.S. citizen wife. He is unable to renew his driver's license or show proof of his status to enable him to work.
- 18. Fourth, compelling agency action here would have no effect on an activity of a higher or competing priority. USCIS did not release details of its adjudication of I-601As in its 2021 statistical report. According to a recent report, USCIS expects to receipt over 39,000 I-601As in 2002 and 2023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Annual Statistical Report FY 2021, USCIS (available at <a href="https://www.uscis.gov/sites/default/files/document/reports/2021%20USCIS%20Statistical%20Annual%20Report.pdf">https://www.uscis.gov/sites/default/files/document/reports/2021%20USCIS%20Statistical%20Annual%20Report.pdf</a>).

(combined).<sup>2</sup> That same report indicates that USCIS can adjudicate a Form I-601A in just 2.76 hours.<sup>3</sup> Accordingly, Defendant must have decided thousands of Form I-601As since 2020. Although it is true that the agency temporarily closed its field offices in March 2020 for a few months due to the Covid-19 pandemic, they have been reopened for over a year now. The delay is not due to a lack of agency resources. Rather, the delay may be due to internal priorities, such as emphasizing employment-based categories. For example, one USCIS official admitted "when USCIS focuses on priority areas, there are trade-offs being made. Processing times for some categories increase[], for example". Exhibit E. That appears to have happened during the pandemic when USCIS successfully issued "all the available employment-based green card visas in fiscal 2022." *Id*.

19. Finally, this Court need not find impropriety to find this delay unreasonable.

Nevertheless, there exists significant evidence in the public record supporting impropriety. For example, in March of 2021 Department of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services Fee Schedule and Changes to Certain Other Immigration Benefit Request Requirements, 88 Fed. Reg. 402, 435 (January 4, 2023) (available at <a href="https://www.federalregister.gov/d/2022-27066/page-435">https://www.federalregister.gov/d/2022-27066/page-435</a>).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> *Id.* at 449 (available at <a href="https://www.federalregister.gov/d/2022-27066/page-449">https://www.federalregister.gov/d/2022-27066/page-449</a>).

Homeland Security Secretary Alejandro Mayorkas publicly stated that USCIS was still working to recover from being "gutted" by the previous administration, which of course has resulted in harm to Plaintiffs and many others like them. *See* Owen, Quinn "DHS chief defends Biden admin on immigration, says Trump 'gutted' system", ABC News, Mar. 1, 2021, available at <a href="https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/dhs-chief-defends-biden-adminimmigration-trump-gutted/story?id=76189428">https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/dhs-chief-defends-biden-adminimmigration-trump-gutted/story?id=76189428</a> (last accessed May 22, 2023).

20. Despite Plaintiffs' diligent efforts, including filing a complete application and paying filing fees, it does not appear that Defendant is moving forward on adjudicating Plaintiffs' Application.

## COUNT 1

- 21. Plaintiffs re-allege all of the allegations contained herein.
- 22. Plaintiffs are eligible for immediate approval of the Application.
- 23. Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer significant and irreparable harm because of the Defendant's policies, procedures, acts and failures to act as described herein.

24. Defendant violated Plaintiffs' statutory rights to apply for benefits which Congress has provided under the INA, depriving Plaintiffs of the adjudication of the I-601A Application under 8 USC § 1182(a)(9)(B)(v), thereby hindering beneficiary Plaintiff's ability to pursue his immigrant visa processing.

### **COUNT 2**

- 25. Plaintiffs re-allege all of the allegations contained herein.
- 26. Plaintiffs have suffered a legal wrong or have been "adversely affected or aggrieved" by agency action. 5 U.S.C. § 702. Plaintiff is a person aggrieved by agency action, for which there is no other adequate remedy in a court. 5 U.S.C. § 704.

## **COUNT 3**

- 27. Plaintiffs re-allege all of the allegations contained herein.
- 28. Defendant owes Plaintiffs a clear and certain duty to adjudicate Plaintiffs' Application.
- 29. Plaintiffs have no other adequate remedy at law.

#### VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully requests the Court:

- 1. Assume Jurisdiction over this action;
- 2. Issue a writ of mandamus compelling Defendant to immediately adjudicate the pending Application;
- 3. Award Plaintiffs reasonable costs and attorney's fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act; and
- 4. Award such further relief as the Court deems just or appropriate.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 27th of May, 2023.

/s/

Eli A. Echols, Esq.
Georgia Bar # 141839
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
Socheat Chea, P.C.
3500 Duluth Park Lane, Bldg. 300
Duluth, Georgia 30096
(770) 623-8880
(770) 623-8852 (fax)
eli@socheatchea.com

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify I electronically filed the foregoing **COMPLAINT FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS** and its accompanying Exhibits with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system. This system will automatically send email notification of such filing to all below Defendants who are registered with this system. In addition, in compliance with local rules, this initial Complaint and the accompanying Exhibits and summons have also been served by First Class Certified Mail, postage pre-paid, on:

Ur M. Jaddou, Director USCIS c/o Office of the Chief Counsel 20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW Room 4210 Washington, DC 20529 Ryan K. Buchanan, USA Northern District of Georgia 75 Ted Turner Drive SW Suite 600 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Certified this May 27, 2023

/s/

Eli A. Echols, Esq.
Georgia Bar # 141839
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
Socheat Chea, P.C.
3500 Duluth Park Lane, Bldg. 300
Duluth, Georgia 30096
(770) 623-8880
(770) 623-8852 (fax)
eli@socheatchea.com

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

Juan Francisco Villa Alonso and Ana Mercedes Damour, Civil No:

Plaintiffs,

V.

UR M. JADDOU, Director of U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services,

Defendant.

# **LIST OF EXHIBITS**

Exhibit A	Approval Notice for Plaintiffs' Form I-130 approved on June 25, 2019;
Exhibit B	Receipt for Plaintiff Juan's Form I-601A petition filed on April 24, 2020;
Exhibit C	USCIS.gov Case Current Processing Times for Form I-601A;
Exhibit D	USCIS listing of historic average case processing times for all applications; and
Exhibit E	"USCIS Officials Say Agency Is Recovering from Pandemic Lows", <i>Society for Human Resource Management</i> , by Roy Maurer (February 27, 2023) (available at <a href="https://www.shrm.org/resourcesandtools/">https://www.shrm.org/resourcesandtools/</a> <a href="https://www.shrm.org/resourcesandtools/">hr-topics/talent-acquisition/pages/uscis-officials-say-agency-recovering-from-pandemic-lows.aspx</a> ).

# RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 27th of May, 2023.

 $/_{\rm S}/$ 

Eli A. Echols, Esq.
Georgia Bar # 141839
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
Socheat Chea, P.C.
3500 Duluth Park Lane, Bldg. 300
Duluth, Georgia 30096
(770) 623-8880
(770) 623-8852 (fax)
eli@socheatchea.com